

<http://doi.org/10.18502/bccr.v16i3.19692>

Ethics & Cancer

Mamak Tahmasebi *

Cancer Research Center, Cancer Institute of Iran, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

1

* Corresponding Author:
Dr. Mamak Tahmasebi
Cancer Research Center,
Cancer Institute of Iran, Tehran
University of Medical Sciences,
Tehran, Iran

Email: mamaktahma@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Cancer Research Center, Cancer Institute, Imam Khomeini Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

The ethical challenges in cancer care are complex and varied. Delving deeper into the multifaceted issues that healthcare professionals and patients face is necessary. These challenges are deeply intertwined, requiring a nuanced understanding and approach.

Informed Consent is a cornerstone of ethical medical practice. It ensures that patients are fully aware of their diagnosis, the available treatment options, and the potential outcomes before they consent to any medical procedures. This process is crucial in empowering patients, allowing them to make informed decisions about their health. However, achieving true informed consent can be challenging. Patients may have varying levels of health literacy, and the emotional burden of a cancer diagnosis can affect their ability to process information. Healthcare providers must therefore communicate clearly and compassionately, ensuring that patients understand their choices without feeling overwhelmed.

Patient autonomy is another fundamental ethical principle in cancer care. It emphasizes the right of patients to be actively involved in decisions about their healthcare, including the right to refuse treatment. This principle respects the individual's values and preferences, acknowledging that patients are the best judges of their own interests. However, conflicts can arise when a patient's decision contradicts medical advice. For instance, a patient might refuse a life-saving treatment due to personal beliefs or fear of side effects. In such cases, healthcare providers must balance respect for autonomy with their duty to provide beneficial care, often requiring sensitive negotiation and support.

Resource allocation presents a significant ethical dilemma, particularly in the context of limited medical resources. Decisions about who receives certain treatments, such as experimental therapies or organ transplants, must be made fairly and justly. This requires a balance between maximizing the overall benefit and ensuring that all patients have an equal opportunity to receive care. The challenge lies in developing criteria that are both ethically sound and practically applicable. Factors such as the severity of illness, potential for benefit, and patient preferences must be considered,



often necessitating difficult choices and prioritization. Research ethics is critical in guiding clinical trials and scientific investigations in cancer care. The primary goal is to advance medical knowledge while protecting the rights and well-being of research participants. This involves obtaining informed consent, ensuring confidentiality, and minimizing risks. Ethical research practices also require transparency and accountability, with rigorous oversight by institutional review boards. The challenge is to balance the potential benefits of research with the ethical obligation to protect participants, particularly in studies involving vulnerable populations or high-risk interventions.

Equity in cancer care is essential in ensuring that everyone, regardless of their economic status or location, has access to high-quality cancer care. This principle of fairness must be upheld to provide equal opportunities for all individuals seeking treatment. Disparities in healthcare access and outcomes are well-documented, with marginalized groups often facing significant barriers. Addressing these disparities requires systemic changes, including policy reforms, resource allocation, and targeted interventions to support underserved communities. Ensuring equity also involves cultural competence, where healthcare providers respect and understand the diverse backgrounds and needs of their patients.

End-of-life decisions in cancer care are fraught with ethical dilemmas. The controversial topic of euthanasia often comes to mind, but the ethical challenges extend to palliative care and life-support measures. Palliative care aims to provide relief from the symptoms and stress of serious illness, focusing on improving quality of life. However, determining the right balance of treatment can be complex. Decisions about when to start or stop life-support measures, or whether to use palliative sedation, require careful consideration of the patient's wishes, quality of life, and medical prognosis. These decisions are often emotionally charged and require compassionate communication and support for patients and their families.

Managing ethical challenges in cancer care requires attention to cultural sensitivity. Respecting the cultural, spiritual, and religious beliefs of patients and their families is essential in providing holistic care. Cultural sensitivity involves understanding and valuing diverse perspectives, which can influence patients' decisions and experiences. Healthcare providers must be aware of their own biases and strive to create an inclusive environment where all patients feel respected and

understood. This approach not only enhances patient satisfaction but also improves health outcomes by fostering trust and cooperation.

Navigating these ethical issues requires a multidisciplinary approach. Ethical consultations often involve a team of healthcare professionals, including doctors, nurses, social workers, and ethicists, who collaborate to support decision-making. This team-based approach ensures that diverse perspectives are considered, and that decisions are made in the best interest of the patient. Ethical consultations provide a structured process for addressing complex issues, offering guidance and support to both patients and healthcare providers.

In conclusion, the ethical challenges in cancer care are multifaceted and require a thoughtful, compassionate approach. By prioritizing informed consent, patient autonomy, equitable resource allocation, research ethics, equity, end-of-life care, cultural sensitivity, and a multidisciplinary approach, healthcare providers can navigate these challenges effectively. Ultimately, the goal is to provide ethical, patient-centered care that respects the dignity and rights of all individuals facing cancer.

References:

1. Beauchamp, T.L., & Childress, J.F. *Principles of Bio-medical Ethics* (8th ed.). Oxford University Press. 2019
2. Persad, G., Wertheimer, A., & Emanuel, E.J. Principles for allocation of scarce medical interventions. *The Lancet*, 2009 Jan;373(9661), 423-431.
3. Emanuel, E.J., Wendler, D., & Grady, C. What makes clinical research ethical? *JAMA*, 2000;283(20), 2701-2711.
4. Braveman, P. What are health disparities and health equity? *Public Health Reports*, 2014 Jan;129(Suppl 2), 5-8.
5. Quill TE, Abernethy AP. Generalist plus specialist palliative care—creating a more sustainable model. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2013 Mar;368(13):1173-5.
6. Betancourt, J.R., Green AR, Carrillo JE, Ananeh-Firempong 2nd O. Defining cultural competence: a practical framework for addressing racial/ethnic disparities in health and health care. *Public health reports*. 2003 Jul;118(4):293.
7. Shuman AG, Montas SM, Barnosky AR, Smith LB, Fins JJ, McCabe MS. Clinical ethics consultation in oncology. *Journal of Oncology Practice*. 2013 Sep;9(5):240-5.