ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Received: May 2017 Accepted: November 2017

The Effects of Concomitant use of Silymarin and Chemotherapy on Solid Tumors: A pilot randomized controlled trial

Sanambar Sadighi¹, Simin Dashti-Khavidaki², Foroud Shahbazi^{*3}, Mehrzad Mirzania¹, Farhad Shahi¹, Alireza Abdollahi⁴, Mohammad Hossein Ghahremani⁵

13

 Hematology and Medical Oncology Department, Cancer Research Center of Iran Cancer Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.
Department of Clinical pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

 Department of Pharmacology, Toxicology and Pharmaceutical Care, Faculty of Pharmacy, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran.
Department of Pathology, Valie-Asr Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.
Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Tehran University of Medical Sciences

*Corresponding Author:

Foroud Shahbazi

Department of Pharmacology, Toxicology and Pharmaceutical Care, Faculty of Pharmacy, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran. Tel: 098-9168611925 Email address: foroud08@gmail.com



ABSTRACT

Background: Anti-cancer potential of silymarin has been shown in cell culture. However, no pro¬spective clinical study has been conducted in this relation.

Methods: In a randomized double blind pilot study, we compared effects of addition of standard chemotherapy along with silymarin (420mg/day) versus placebo on clinical response of advanced tumors after three cycles of cisplatin-based chemotherapy.

Results: There was no significant differ¬ence in tumor size after three consecutive chemotherapy courses but a trend toward lower metastasis rate in chemotherapy + silymarin group. Concomitant use of sily¬marin and chemotherapy was very well tolerated but didn't significantly increase clinical response.

Conclusion: Due to the trend towards significantly lower metastasis in sily¬marin group, further study with larger sample size is needed to better clarify probable role of adjunctive therapy with silymarin in patients with solid tumors.

Keywords: silymarin-anticancer-solid tumor-chemotherapy

INTRODUCTION:

ilybum marianum, also known as milk thistle, a herbal plant from asteraceae families mainly comprises of flavonolignans including silvbin, Isosilybin, silvdianin, and silvchristin^{1,2}. Silvmarin is well known as a hepatoprotective agent in liver diseases such as alcoholic and non-alcoholic fatty liver, drug and toxin related liver disease³. Silymarin may also prevent liver function deterioration and hepatocellular carcinoma³. Preliminary animal and clinical studies have shown that silymarin flavonolignans or flavonoids may have protective effects concerning skin, lung, and breast cancers⁴⁻⁶. A series of well-done cell culture studies, mainly conducted by Agarwal et al, have shown antineoplastic properties for silymarin⁷. Pathways proposed of silymarin anti-neoplastic properties include apoptosis induction, suppression of inflammation, growth inhibition, anti-angiogenesis, cell cycle regulation, and metastasis inhibition⁷⁻⁹.

Serum or plasma levels of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) may might have prognostic value and anti-VEGF therapy might improve overall survival, progression free survival and overall response rate in gastrointestinal tumors¹⁰. Other studies also have shown that serum VEGF concentration may be useful in both diagnosis and prognosis of cancer in patients with ovarian carcinoma^{11, 12}. However, routine measurement of VEGF is not standard of care.

Therefore, the aim of the present pilot study was to evaluate the effects of silymarin in comparison to placebo along with standard chemotherapy on clinical response and serum VEGF level in patients with solid tumors.

METHODS:

This study was a pilot randomized double-blinded placebo controlled trial conducted at Medical Oncology Department of Iran Cancer Institute from April 2013 until December 2014. The patients we randomized according to the computerized random number generator (1998-2017 RANDOM.ORG).

Patients

The participants in the study, patients with upper gastrointestinal adenocarcinomas had to meet the following criteria: age>18 years old, Karnofsky performance status score more than70percent, receiving neo-adjuvant or palliative chemotherapy, have advanced measurable disease, undergoing cisplatin chemotherapy and signed informed consent. Patients with swallowing difficulties were excluded. Details of study exclusion criteria have been published before¹³. Because of very strict exclusion criteria and low recursions rate the authors randomized few patients with other solid tumors (mainly genitourinary tumors). They were taken 420 mg silymarin (Liver herb) or identical placebo tablets (Amin Pharmaceutical company, Isfahan, Iran) in 3 divided doses with meal, beginning 2 days before and ending 1 week after three consecutive chemotherapy cycles, including cisplatin and taxanes, and it had to be for at least six months after study inclusion. Flow chart of randomization has been shown in figure 1.

Ethics

The local Ethics Committee of Tehran University of Medical Sciences approved the study protocol. The proposal was approved by Iranian Registry of Clinical Trials committee with number of IRCT201207013043N6.

Measurements

Serum sample wasobtained at the beginning and the end of the study. Laboratory data, including white blood cells, hemoglobin, platelets count, liver and kidney function test and serum electrolytes, were measured daily during hospitalization. For VEGF (Bioassay technology laboratory, Shanghai china) samples were centrifuged at the rate of 10000 RPM



and were frozen at -80 C until reading. The range of detection assay and sensitivity were 20-6000ng/l and 10.25 ng/l respectively. Intra-assay Precision and Inter-assay Precision were 10% and 12% respectively.

Response to chemotherapy was checked by Chest, Abdominopelvic CT-Scan before the first cycle of chemotherapy and after the third cycle and evaluated based on RESIST criteria¹⁴. All responses (complete, partial and stable disease) were put together as clinical response.

Sample size

Due to lack of human data, the authors were not able

to calculate the real sample size. Sample size of the present study was calculated for silymarin effects on cisplatin associated nephrotoxicity Published on-line¹³.

Statistics

Shapiro-Wilk test was used to assess normality of data. The baseline characteristics and biochemical data of the patients were compared by two-unrelated samples t-tests. Fisher's exact test was used to compaire nominal variables. These analyses were done using SPSS software version 14 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). P values of less than 0.05 were considered as statistically significant. The relative risk

of progressive disease was checked by Cross-Tabulation in SPSS software.

RESULTS:

Twenty-four patients met the inclusion criteria (**Figure 1**). Upper gastrointestinal cancers (75%) were the most common ones in the study population, followed by ovary (21%) and one case of mesothelioma (**Table 1**). Baseline demographic characteristics of case and control groups were similar (**Table 2**).VEGF concentrations in the two groups have been shown in **table 3**. and the clinical response in **table 4**.

Although not statistically significant, the incidence of metastasis was lower in patients treated with silymarin (RR= 0.33 (CI 0.0834 to 1.3328, p=0.12). Other factors such as clinical response (p=0.6), relapse (p=0.9) and death (p=0.7) were similar concerning the two groups.

Patients' reported side effects during hospitalization and telephone follow-up were similar regarding silymarin and placebo groups. In view of liver function tests there were no difference regarding silymarin and placebo group.

Reported grade III and IV chemotherapy related side effects including anemia, leukopenia and dermatologic reaction, were the same in the two study groups (p=0.7). Toxicity and schedules of protocols are reported elsewhere¹⁴.

Silymarin and placebo tablets were tolerated well without significant side effects.

DISCUSSION:

In the present study treatment with silymarin for three consecutive courses had no significant effect on serum VEGF concentration or clinical response

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of patients					
Study group	Silymarin group	Placebo group	Р		
Age (years)	55.91 ± 8.6	51.16 ± 7.72	0.16		
Female	25	50	0.40		
Weight (Kg)	60.0 ± 8.8	57.0± 6.91	0.51		
BSA(M ²)	60.0 ± 8.8	57.0± 6.91	0.51		
Serum creatinine (mg/dl)	1.0 ± 0.2	0.9 ± 0.3	0.76		
Albumin (g/dl)	4.100±0.492	4.042±0.380	0.74		
White cell count (x10 ³ /mm ³)	7.92 ± 4.08	6.96 ± 4.97	0.24		
Hemoglobin (g/dL)	11.0 ± 1.1	11.8 ± 1.0	0.89		
Platelet count (x10 ³ /mm ³)	285.8 ± 139.5	246.3 ± 98.8	0.28		
Serum AST (U/L)	24.3 ± 10.0	24.5 ± 6.0	0.96		
Serum ALT (U/L)	22.3 ± 9.6	23.9 ± 8.8	0.66		
Serum alkaline phosphatase (U/L)	482.0 ± 103.5	205.2 ± 46.1	0.75		
Total bilirubin (mg/dl)	0.8 ±0.3	0.9± 0.1	0.21		

Table 2. Chemotherapy regimens and malignancy					
Treatment regimen	Silymarin group	Placebo group			
Cisplatin,docetaxel,5-FU (TCF)	5	7			
Cisplatin,epirubicin,5-FU (ECF)	4	2			
Cisplatin, pemetrexed	1				
Cisplatin, Gemcitabine	1	2			
Cisplatin, ifosfamide, vinblastine		1			
Cisplatin, paclitaxel	1				
Cancer type (number of patients)					
Upper GI	9	9			
Ovarian	2	3			
Mesothelioma	1	0			

Table 3. VEGF concentration at initiation and end of study

end of trial	Study initiation	Treatment group
212 (145-710)	282 (154-615)	Silymarin
320 (173-472)	457 (232-550)	Placebo
0.42	0.2	Р

Table 4. Clinical response in silymarin and placebo group

Treatment group	Silymarin (%)	Placebo (%)	Р
Clinical Response	6 (50%)	4 (33%)	0.6
Metastasis	3 (25%)	7 (58%)	0.08
Palliative care	2 (16%)	1 (9%)	0.8
Death	1 (9%)	0	0.7

to chemotherapy. In human umbilical vein endothelial cells and colon cancer LoVo cell line, silymarin has shown a dose dependent effect on serum VEGF concentration^{15, 16}. Silymarin inhibits angiogenesis in human umbilical vein endothelial cells at concentration of 50 µg/ml and higher within an hour ¹⁵. Breast, ovarian and colon cancer cell lines are among in which silymarin may have anti-angiogenic effects¹⁷. Others have proposed that silymarin anti-angiogenicity is mediated mainly by VEGF-3 receptor down regulation and angiopoietin-2 up regulation¹⁸. Silybin may also inhibit epidermal growth factor receptor pathway¹⁹. It may also decrease cytoplasmic immune staining for VEGF²⁰. However, because of low response and unresectability of tumors the authors were not able to assess tissue analysis after treatment.

Although not statistically significant, the rate of metastasis was lower in patients treated with silymarin. Anti-metastasis and anti-mutagenic properties of silvmarin have been proposed for prostate, lung, breast, oral cancer and osteosarcoma²¹⁻²⁴. More recently it has been proposed that silibinin can regulate adenosine 5'-monophosphate activated protein kinase (AMPK)/mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) and cyclin dependent kinase pathway in renal cell carcinoma and melanoma cells respectively^{25, 26}. The results suggested that anti-proliferative effect of silymarin was mediated through cell cycle arrest at G1/S phase, cyclin-dependent kinase inactivation, apoptosis induction and inhibition of transcription of inflammatory factors^{24, 26}. In addition, Kim et al reported that silibinin prevents tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-a induced matrix metalloproteinase-9 expression through the MEK/ERK pathway in gastric cancer cells in a dose dependent manner²⁷. Furthermore, silymarin treated mice had lower chance of UV induced tumor, tumor volume and multiplicity28. Silymarin or its flavonolignans may act as a chemosensitizer in chemo-resistance prostate and gynecologic cancers and potentiate cisplatin antitumor properties¹⁷. Human and animal Pharmacokinetic studies have shown that silymarin concentrates in liver and is metabolized rapidly to its conjugated forms with elimination half-life of 30 minutes. In contrast, elimination half-life of silymarin in other tissues such as lung, stomach, skin and prostate is about 60-150 minutes²⁹. Concomitant

use of silymarin and chemotherapy in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia improves chemotherapy related hepatotoxicity without antagonizing chemotherapy. Silymarin treatment also potentiates vincristine antineoplastic properties³⁰. Therefore, silymarin may be an effective chemo sensitizer or adjunctive treatment in patients with solid tumors. A phase one clinical trial also has shown that si-lymarin flavonolignan modified formulation, si-lybinphosphatidylcholine named as Siliphos®, is tolerated at dose of 2 gram/day in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma³¹.

The results of the present study, although there was a decrease in metastasis rate, did not show positive effects of silymarin on assessed response parameters.

Lack of response may be due, in part, to the small sample size. Furthermore, the low serum and tissue concentration of silymarin may be another reason. Because there was no major toxicity of silimarin or no differences in toxicity in comparison to placebo, we recommend higher doses of silimarin be used in further studies.

In the present study there was some limitations the most important of which was the sample size calculated to assess silymarin effects on cisplatin-associated nephrotoxicity. Unfortunately, due to slow enrolment, the authors were unable to include patients with the similar tumor. This might have affected our data interpretations. However, response criteria were strict and the same. Due to strict inclusion and exclusion criteria, the researchers were unable to include more patients in the study (**figure 1**). A trend was found a trend toward significant lower metastasis in silymarin group. So, further study with a larger sample size is needed to better clarify the probable role of adjunctive therapy with silymarin in patients with solid tumors.

Reference

1. Kren V, Walterova D. Silybin and silymarin--new effects and applications. Biomed Pap Med Fac Univ Palacky Olomouc Czech Repub. 2005 Jun;149(1):29-41.

2. Gazak R, Walterova D, Kren V. Silybin and silymarin--new and emerging applications in medicine. Curr Med Chem. 2007;14(3):315-38.

3. Feher J, Lengyel G. Silymarin in the prevention and treatment of liver diseases and primary liver cancer. Curr Pharm Biotechnol. 2012 Jan;13(1):210-7.

4. Singh RP, Agarwal R. Flavonoid antioxidant silymarin and skin cancer. Antioxid Redox Signal. 2002 Aug;4(4):655-63.

5. Katiyar SK. Silymarin and skin cancer prevention: anti-inflammatory, antioxidant and immunomodulatory effects (Review). Int J Oncol. 2005 Jan;26(1):169-76.

6. Vaid M, Katiyar SK. Molecular mechanisms of inhibition of photocarcinogenesis by silymarin, a phytochemical from milk thistle (Silybum marianum L. Gaertn.) (Review). Int J Oncol. 2010 May;36(5):1053-60.

7. Ramasamy K, Agarwal R. Multitargeted therapy of cancer by silymarin. Cancer Lett. 2008 Oct 08;269(2):352-62.

8. Kim S, Choi JH, Lim HI, Lee SK, Kim WW, Kim JS, et al. Silibinin prevents TPA-induced MMP-9 expression and VEGF secretion by inactivation of the Raf/MEK/ERK pathway in MCF-7 human breast cancer cells. Phytomedicine. 2009 Jun;16(6-7):573-80.

9. Sharma G, Singh RP, Chan DC, Agarwal R. Silibinin induces growth inhibition and apoptotic cell death in human lung carcinoma cells. Anticancer Res. 2003 May-Jun;23(3B):2649-55.

10. Qi WX, Shen Z, Tang LN, Yao Y. The role of anti-VEGF agents in the treatment of advanced gastric cancer: a meta-analysis of rand-omized controlled trials. Tumour Biol. 2014 Aug;35(8):7675-83.

11. Li L, Wang L, Zhang W, Tang B, Zhang J, Song H, et al. Correlation of serum VEGF levels with clinical stage, therapy efficacy, tumor metastasis and patient survival in ovarian cancer. Anticancer Res. 2004 May-Jun;24(3b):1973-9.

12. Cheng D, Liang B, Li Y. Serum vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF-C) as a diagnostic and prognostic marker in patients with ovarian cancer. PLoS One. 2013;8(2):e55309.

13. Shahbazi F, Sadighi S, Dashti-Khavidaki S, Shahi F, Mirzania M, Abdollahi A, et al. Effect of Silymarin Administration on Cisplatin Nephrotoxicity: Report from A Pilot, Randomized, Double-Blinded, Placebo-Controlled Clinical Trial. Phytother Res. 2015 Jul;29(7):1046-53.

14. Eisenhauer EA, Therasse P, Bogaerts J, Schwartz LH, Sargent D, Ford R, et al. New response evaluation criteria in solid tumours: revised RECIST guideline (version 1.1). Eur J Cancer. 2009 Jan;45(2):228-47.

15. Jiang C, Agarwal R, Lu J. Anti-angiogenic potential of a cancer chemopreventive flavonoid antioxidant, silymarin: inhibition of key attributes of vascular endothelial cells and angiogenic cytokine secretion by cancer epithelial cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2000 Sep 16;276(1):371-8.

16. Yang SH, Lin JK, Chen WS, et al. Anti-angiogenic effect of silymarin on colon cancer LoVo cell line. J Surg Res. 2003; 113:133-8.

17. Agarwal R, Agarwal C, Ichikawa H, Singh RP, Aggarwal BB. Anticancer potential of silymarin: from bench to bed side. Anticancer Res. 2006 Nov-Dec;26(6B):4457-98.

18. Gallo D, Giacomelli S, Ferlini C, Raspaglio G, Apollonio P, Prislei S, et al. Antitumour activity of the silybin-phosphatidylcholine complex, IdB 1016, against human ovarian cancer. Eur J Cancer. 2003 Nov;39(16):2403-10.

19. Qi L, Singh RP, Lu Y, Agarwal R, Harrison GS, Franzusoff A, et al. Epidermal growth factor receptor mediates silibinin-induced cytotoxicity in a rat glioma cell line. Cancer Biol Ther. 2003 Sep-Oct;2(5):526-31.

20. Singh RP, Sharma G, Dhanalakshmi S, Agarwal C, Agarwal R. Suppression of advanced human prostate tumor growth in athymic mice by silibinin feeding is associated with reduced cell proliferation, increased apoptosis, and inhibition of angiogenesis. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2003 Sep;12(9):933-9.

21. Singh RP, Raina K, Deep G, Chan D, Agarwal R. Silibinin suppresses growth of human prostate carcinoma PC-3 orthotopic xenograft via activation of extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2 and inhibition of signal transducers and activators of transcription signaling. Clin Cancer Res. 2009 Jan 15;15(2):613-21.

22. Kaleeswaran S, Sriram P, Prabhu D, Mathuram LN. Anti- and pro-mutagenic effects of silymarin in the Ames bacterial reverse mutation assay. Phytother Res. 2009 Oct;23(10):1378-84.

23. Li W, Mu D, Song L, Zhang J, Liang J, Wang C, et al. Molecular mechanism of silymarin-induced apoptosis in a highly metastatic lung cancer cell line anip973. Cancer Biother Radiopharm. 2011 Jun;26(3):317-24.

24. Deep G, Agarwal R. Antimetastatic efficacy of silibinin: molecular mechanisms and therapeutic potential against cancer. Cancer Metastasis Rev. 2010 Sep;29(3):447-63.

25. Li F, Ma Z, Guan Z, Chen Y, Wu K, Guo P, et al. Autophagy induction by silibinin positively contributes to its anti-metastatic capacity via AMPK/mTOR pathway in renal cell carcinoma. Int J Mol Sci. 2015 Apr 15;16(4):8415-29.

26. Vaid M, Singh T, Prasad R, et al. Silymarin inhibits melanoma cell growth both in vitro and in vivo by targeting cell cycle regulators, angiogenic biomarkers and induction of apoptosis. Mol Carcinog. 2014 Aug 30. doi: 10.1002/mc.22208. [Epub ahead of print]

27. Kim S, Choi MG, Lee HS, Lee SK, Kim SH, Kim WW, et al. Silibinin suppresses TNF-alpha-induced MMP-9 expression in gastric cancer cells through inhibition of the MAPK pathway. Molecules. 2009 Oct 26;14(11):4300-11.

28. Gu M, Dhanalakshmi S, Mohan S, Singh RP, Agarwal R. Silibinin inhibits ultraviolet B radiation-induced mitogenic and survival signaling, and associated biological responses in SKH-1 mouse skin. Carcinogenesis. 2005 Aug;26(8):1404-13.

29. Zhao J, Agarwal R. Tissue distribution of silibinin, the major active constituent of silymarin, in mice and its association with enhancement of phase II enzymes: implications in cancer chemoprevention. Carcinogenesis. 1999 Nov;20(11):2101-8.

30. Ladas EJ, Kroll DJ, Oberlies NH, Cheng B, Ndao DH, Rheingold SR, et al. A randomized, controlled, double-blind, pilot study of milk thistle for the treatment of hepatotoxicity in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL). Cancer. 2010 Jan 15;116(2):506-13.

31. Siegel AB, Narayan R, Rodriguez R, Goyal A, Jacobson JS, Kelly K, et al. A phase I dose-finding study of silybin phosphatidylcholine (milk thistle) in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. Integr Cancer Ther. 2014 Jan;13(1):46-53.